```
1
1
                     UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                     EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
 2
 3
     UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, : 17-CR-00224(PKC)
 4
5
            -against-
                                   : United States Courthouse
 6
                                   : Brooklyn, New York
 7
                                    Thursday, April 27, 2017
     RICHARD K. LAI,
8
                                   : 12:00 p.m.
              Defendant.
9
10
11
              TRANSCRIPT OF CRIMINAL CAUSE FOR PLEADING
                 BEFORE THE HONORABLE PAMELA K. CHEN
12
                     UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
13
                        APPEARANCES:
14
    For the Government: BRIDGET M. ROHDE., ESQ.
15
                           United States Attorney
                           Eastern District of New York
                              271 Cadman Plaza East
16
                             Brooklyn, New York 11201
                             PAUL TUCHMANN, ESQ.
17
                        BY:
                             NADIA SHIHATA, ESQ.
                             SARITHA KOMATIREDDY, ESQ.
18
                             Assistant United States Attorneys
19
    For the Defendant: LEONARDO RAPADAS. ESQ.
20
                              130 Aspinall Avenue, Suite 2A
                             Hagatna, Guam 96910
21
22
    Court Reporter:
                        SOPHIE NOLAN
23
                        225 Cadman Plaza East/Brooklyn, NY 11201
                        NolanEDNY@aol.com
24
    Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography, transcript
    produced by Computer-Aided Transcription
25
```

	Proceedings 2
1	THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Criminal cause for pleading.
2	Docket, 17-cr-00224, USA versus John Doe.
3	Will the parties please state their appearances for
4	the record.
5	MR. TUCHMANN: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Paul
6	Tuchmann for the United States. With me is Nadia Shihata and
7	Saritha Komatireddy.
8	MR. ABBOTT: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Andrew
9	Abbott from Pretrial Services.
10	MR. RAPADAS: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Leonard
11	Rapadas representing Mr. Lai.
12	THE COURT: Good afternoon to both of you.
13	I want to deal with one initial matter which has to
14	do with your admission to this court. I understand that you
15	are in the process of submitting your pro hac vice
16	application.
17	MR. RAPADAS: Yes, I am.
18	THE COURT: I want to set a deadline to get this
19	done. I gather the Government doesn't have a problem with us
20	proceeding with the attorney while he is not yet admitted; is
21	that correct?
22	MR. TUCHMANN: That is correct, Your Honor. I'll
23	state for the record that Mr. Rapadas is a former U.S.
24	Attorney in Guam and the former Attorney General of Guam.
25	THE COURT: The former Attorney General of Guam?

3 Proceedings The former, yes. 1 MR. RAPADAS: 2 THE COURT: Okay, he does have some qualifications. 3 MR. TUCHMANN: It's my understanding he's admitted 4 in the District of Guam, the ninth circuit, and the Supreme Court of the United States it looks like it's getting the 5 6 paperwork together and he is clearly qualified to practice in 7 this court. 8 THE COURT: I gather you are waiting for some 9 certification to come through. 10 MR. RAPADAS: It's on the way. 11 THE COURT: How much time do you want for that? 12 MR. RAPADAS: Your Honor, it's actually going to hit 13 the hotel tomorrow. I have the PDF. If the court accepts a PDF --14 THE COURT: Why don't I give you two weeks just so 15 16 we have a deadline and make sure it does not fall through the 17 Otherwise, my deputy will yell at me. Let's set a cracks. 18 date. 19 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: May 11th. 20 THE COURT: For defense counsel to submit his pro 21 hac vice application. 22 MR. RAPADAS: Thank you, Your Honor. Just for the 23 record, I'm already moving for pro hac vice admission. 24 THE COURT: Okay. I will grant that contingent upon 25 approval from the clerk's office and the review of the

Proceedings 4 paperwork, but given the representations made to me, I do not 1 2 have a reason to think there will be a problem. 3 MR. RAPADAS: Thank you. 4 THE COURT: So we are here for two reasons; one is we are going to address an Information that is being filed and 5 I think a waiver of indictment as well as a plea. 6 7 Correct? 8 MR. TUCHMANN: Yes, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Why don't we start first with the 10 allocution regarding the Information or the advice, I guess I 11 should say. 12 Mr. Rapadas, I understand that your client, as I 13 mentioned a moment ago, wishes to waive indictment and plead 14 guilty to an Information; is that correct? 15 MR. RAPADAS: Yes, Your Honor. 16 THE COURT: You can have a seat throughout the 17 proceeding. 18 Now, Mr. Lai, I understand, as I just confirmed with 19 your attorney, that you wish to waive indictment and plead 20 guilty to an Information on which you are charged in this 21 matter; is that correct? 22 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am. 23 THE COURT: Now, this is a very serious decision and 24 I must make certain that you are making both the decision to 25 waive indictment and to plead guilty knowing and understanding

	Proceedings 5	;
1	your rights and the consequences of your decisions.	
2	In addition to explaining the rights that you will	
3	be giving up by waiving indictment and pleading guilty, there	
4	are a number of questions I have to ask you to establish that	
5	you are acting knowingly and voluntarily.	
6	Do you understand that?	
7	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.	
8	THE COURT: Okay. If you do not at any time	
9	understand any of my questions, let me know and I will	
10	rephrase them for you.	
11	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.	
12	THE COURT: Further, if you would like to consult	
13	further with your attorney, just let me know and I will give	
14	you as much time as you need.	
15	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.	
16	THE COURT: Your answers to my questions have to be	
17	under oath so I am going to have you stand and raise your	
18	right hand.	
19	RICHARD K. LAI,	
20	called by the Court, having been first duly sworn,	
21	was examined and testified as follows:	
22	THE COURT: You should understand, Mr. Lai, that now	
23	that you are under oath if you answer any of my questions	
24	falsely, and by that I mean knowing that the answer is false,	
25	those answers can be used against you in a separate	

	Proceedings	6
1	prosecution for perjury or making a false statement.	
2	Do you understand that?	
3	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.	
4	THE COURT: Let's start by having you state your	
5	full name for the record.	
6	THE DEFENDANT: My name is Richard K. Lai.	
7	THE COURT: How old are you?	
8	THE DEFENDANT: Fifty-five years old.	
9	THE COURT: How much formal education have you had?	
10	THE DEFENDANT: I have a bachelor's of science	
11	degree in mechanical engineering.	
12	THE COURT: Have you ever been treated or	
13	hospitalized for any mental illness?	
14	THE DEFENDANT: No.	
15	THE COURT: Are you currently or have you recently	
16	been under the care of a doctor or a psychiatrist for any	
17	reason?	
18	THE DEFENDANT: Not recently.	
19	THE COURT: When was the last time you received any	
20	kind of medical or psychiatric	
21	THE DEFENDANT: About nine months ago.	
22	THE COURT: Are you taking any medication in	
23	connection with your treatment?	
24	THE DEFENDANT: Not now.	
25	THE COURT: Okay. When did you stop?	

	Proceedings 7
1	THE DEFENDANT: I stopped about I would say about
2	four or five months ago.
3	THE COURT: Do you feel that you are suffering any
4	effects from any medication or treatment that you had as of
5	four or five months ago?
6	THE DEFENDANT: No.
7	THE COURT: Have you ever been treated or
8	hospitalized for any type of addiction such as drugs or
9	alcohol?
10	THE DEFENDANT: Never.
11	THE COURT: Have you taken any drugs, medicine or
12	pills or had any alcoholic beverages within the last two days?
13	THE DEFENDANT: No.
14	THE COURT: Is your mind clear today?
15	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
16	THE COURT: And you understand fully why you are
17	here?
18	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.
19	THE COURT: Mr. Lai, have you received a copy of the
20	Information in which you are charged and also which contains
21	the forfeiture allegations made against you?
22	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I did.
23	THE COURT: Have you fully discussed the charges in
24	the forfeiture allegation with your attorney?
25	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I did.

8 Proceedings 1 THE COURT: Do you know the charges that you are 2 facing? 3 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do. 4 THE COURT: Do you understand the nature of the forfeiture allegations? 5 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do. 6 7 THE COURT: Now, instead of an indictment, these 8 felony charges have been brought by the filing of an 9 Information by the United States Attorney. You have a 10 Constitutional right to be charged by indictment returned by a 11 grand jury, but you can waive that right and consent to being 12 charged by information that is put forth by the United States 13 attorney. 14 Unless you waive indictment though, you may not be charged with a felony unless a grand jury finds, by return of 15 an indictment, that there is probable cause to believe that 16 17 these crimes have been committed and that they had been 18 committed by you. Do you understand that? 19 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do. 20 Now, if you do not waive indictment, the THE COURT: 21 Government would have to present the case to a grand jury and 22 ask it to indict you in order for you to be charged with these 23 verv crimes. Do you understand that? 24 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 25 Now, a grand jury is composed of at THE COURT:

	Proceedings 9)
1	least 16 but not more than 23 persons and at least twelve of	
2	those grand jurors would have to find that there is probable	
3	cause to believe that you committed the crimes with which you	
4	are charged. Do you understand that?	
5	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.	
6	THE COURT: Now, the Grand Jury may or may not	
7	indict you. If you waive indictment, however, by the Grand	
8	Jury the case will proceed against you on the U.S. Attorney's	
9	Information alone as if you had been indicted by the Grand	
10	Jury. Do you understand that?	
11	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.	
12	THE COURT: Do you understand the right that you	
13	will be giving up, Mr. Lai, by waiving indictment by a grand	
14	jury?	
15	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.	
16	THE COURT: And are you willing to give up that	
17	right?	
18	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.	
19	THE COURT: Have you discussed this decision with	
20	your attorney?	
21	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I did.	
22	THE COURT: Have any threats or promises been made	
23	to induce you to waive your right to indictment by a grand	
24	jury?	
25	THE DEFENDANT: No.	

	Proceedings 10
1	THE COURT: And are you waiving your right to
2	indictment voluntarily and of your own free will?
3	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
4	THE COURT: Mr. Rapadas, do you know of any reason
5	why your client should not waive indictment?
6	MR. RAPADAS: No, Your Honor, not at this time.
7	THE COURT: Mr. Lai, I understand that you have
8	executed a written waiver form; is that correct?
9	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
10	THE COURT: I am looking at the document now. I
11	will go ahead and sign that. It's been signed by both you and
12	your counsel.
13	I do find that Mr. Lai's waiver of indictment is
14	knowing and voluntary and I, therefore, have accepted it.
15	Now, going back to the Information, you indicated,
16	Mr. Lai, that you reviewed that document and discussed it with
17	your attorney; correct?
18	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
19	THE COURT: Would you like me to read the
20	Information to you or do you waive a reading of the
21	Information?
22	THE DEFENDANT: Not necessary.
23	MR. RAPADAS: Your Honor, we waive.
24	THE COURT: Just to summarize, however, you are
25	charged with three separate counts in this Information. The

	Proceedings 11
1	first two charge you with participating in a wire fraud
2	conspiracy and the third one charges you with willfully
3	failing to file a report to the Treasury Department regarding
4	your control or ownership of foreign bank and financial
5	accounts.
6	Are you familiar with those particular charges?
7	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I am.
8	THE COURT: As I mentioned earlier, the Information
9	also contains a forfeiture allegation relating to Counts 1 and
10	2 against you. Are you familiar with that as well?
11	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
12	THE COURT: Are you fully satisfied, Mr. Lai, with
13	the representation, counsel and advice given to you by your
14	attorney in this case?
15	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.
16	THE COURT: And have you had sufficient opportunity
17	to discuss with him the matter of pleading guilty?
18	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
19	THE COURT: As I mentioned before, you and your
20	attorney have indicated that you want to plead guilty in this
21	matter and to the Information, all three counts; is that
22	correct?
23	THE DEFENDANT: Yes; correct.
24	THE COURT: Mr. Rapadas, have you discussed the
25	matter of pleading guilty with your client?

	Proceedings 12
1	MR. RAPADAS: Yes, I have, Your Honor.
2	THE COURT: Does he understand the rights that he
3	will be giving up by pleading guilty?
4	MR. RAPADAS: I believe so.
5	THE COURT: Is he capable of understanding the
6	nature of these proceedings?
7	MR. RAPADAS: Yes, he is, Your Honor.
8	THE COURT: Do you have any doubts as to his
9	competence to plead guilty at this time?
10	MR. RAPADAS: I have none.
11	THE COURT: And have you advised him of the maximum
12	and minimum sentence that can be imposed in this case?
13	MR. RAPADAS: Yes, I have.
14	THE COURT: And have you discussed with him the
15	effect of the Sentencing Guidelines.
16	MR. RAPADAS: Yes.
17	THE COURT: Mr. Lai, I want to advise you of the
18	rights that you will be waiving by pleading guilty. First,
19	you have a right to continue not to plead guilty. Do you
20	understand that?
21	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
22	THE COURT: No one can be forced to plead guilty.
23	If you persisted in your not guilty plea, you would have a
24	right under the Constitution and laws of the United States to
25	a speedy and public trial by jury. Do you understand that?

13 Proceedings 1 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 2 THE COURT: The trial you would be presumed to be 3 innocent and the Government would have to prove your guilt 4 beyond a reasonable doubt. Do you understand that? THE DEFENDANT: 5 Yes. THE COURT: You would have the right to the 6 7 assistance of counsel for your defense and Mr. Rapadas would 8 continue to represent you in the trial and all other 9 proceedings related to the trial. Do you understand that? 10 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 11 THE COURT: You would have the right to see and hear 12 all witnesses and to have them cross-examined in your defense. 13 Do you understand? 14 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. THE COURT: You would have the right not to testify 15 at the trial unless you voluntarily chose to do so in your own 16 17 defense. Do you understand that? 18 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 19 THE COURT: You would have the right to compel the 20 attendance of witnesses to testify in your defense. 21 understand? 22 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 23 THE COURT: Now, if you decided not to testify or 24 not to put on any evidence at the trial, the fact that you did 25 not do so could not be used against you.

	Proceedings 14
1	Do you understand that?
2	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
3	THE COURT: Now, by entering a guilty plea, if I
4	accept your plea there will be no trial and you will have
5	given up your right to a trial and all of these other rights
6	that I have just described. Do you understand?
7	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, do I.
8	THE COURT: I will simply enter a judgment of guilt
9	on the basis of your guilty plea. Do you understand that?
10	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
11	THE COURT: If you plead guilty, I will have to ask
12	you questions about what you did in order to satisfy myself
13	that you are, in fact, guilty of the crimes that you are
14	pleading guilty to. Now, by answering my questions you will
15	be giving up your right against self-incrimination.
16	Do you understand that?
17	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
18	THE COURT: Do you understand each and every one of
19	the rights that I have just explained to you, Mr. Lai?
20	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.
21	THE COURT: Are you willing to give up each and
22	every one of these rights?
23	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
24	THE COURT: Now, as I mentioned before, you have
25	indicated, as has your counsel and the Government, that you

	Proceedings 15
1	are pleading guilty pursuant to a plea agreement with the
2	Government and that has been marked as Court Exhibit Number 1.
3	(Court Exhibit 1, received in evidence.)
4	THE COURT: Did you sign that agreement on the last
5	page above where your name is typed?
6	THE WITNESS: Yes, I did.
7	THE COURT: Did you have an opportunity to read and
8	discuss the agreement before signing it?
9	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I did.
10	THE COURT: And when I say, discuss, I am sorry,
11	discuss it with your attorney.
12	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I did.
13	THE COURT: Did you have sufficient time to do that?
14	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.
15	THE COURT: Do you understand the terms of your
16	agreement?
17	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.
18	THE COURT: Mr. Rapadas, do you feel you have had
19	sufficient time to review the plea agreement with your client?
20	MR. RAPADAS: I believe have, Your Honor.
21	THE COURT: Mr. Lai, do you have any questions at
22	all about the agreement?
23	THE DEFENDANT: No, Your Honor.
24	THE COURT: Does the agreement represent your entire
25	understanding with the Government?

16 Proceedings THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do. I mean it represents, 1 2 yes. 3 THE COURT: Has anyone made any promise or assurance 4 to you that is not in the agreement to get you to accept it? THE DEFENDANT: 5 No. THE COURT: Has anyone threatened you in any way to 6 7 persuade you to accept the plea agreement? 8 THE DEFENDANT: No. 9 THE COURT: Are you pleading guilty of your own free 10 will because you are, in fact, guilty? 11 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I am. 12 THE COURT: Mr. Rapadas, were all formal plea offers 13 from the Government communicated to your client? 14 MR. RAPADAS: Yes, they were, Your Honor. 15 THE COURT: Mr. Lai, I am now going to advise you of 16 the potential penalties that you face by pleading guilty to these three offenses. Counts 1 and 2, as I mentioned, charge 17 18 you with wire fraud conspiracy. The penalties for that crime 19 are as follows: The maximum term of imprisonment you face on 20 each of those counts is 20 years. There is no mandatory 21 minimum sentence. The maximum term of supervised release that 22 you face on each of these counts is three years. 23 Now, supervised release refers to a period of time 24 when you will be subject to supervision by the Probation 25 Department and that will be after any term of imprisonment, if

	Proceedings 17
1	any, you serve in this case.
2	During your period of supervised release, there are
3	rules that you have to follow and if you violate any of those
4	rules, you can be sent back to prison for up to two additional
5	years; and that would be beyond whatever time you may have
6	spent in jail and it would not include any time that you may
7	have spent on supervised release.
8	Also, I could sentence you to that term without a
9	trial of any kind. Do you understand that?
10	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.
11	THE COURT: You also face a possible maximum fine of
12	the greater of \$250,000 or twice the gross gain or loss caused
13	by your crimes as charged in Counts 1 and 2.
14	Do you understand that?
15	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
16	THE COURT: You will be required to pay restitution,
17	it is mandatory, in the full amount of each victim's losses as
18	I determine at the time of sentencing for each of these
19	counts. Do you understand?
20	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
21	THE COURT: In addition, I will have to impose a
22	special assessment of \$100 for each of these counts for a
23	total of \$200. Do you understand that?
24	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
25	THE COURT: Finally, as to each of these counts you

	Proceedings 18
1	face criminal forfeiture and the details about that are set
2	forth in your plea agreement in paragraphs 7 through 14.
3	Have you reviewed those with your attorney?
4	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I did.
5	THE COURT: You understand that you will be subject
6	to forfeiture?
7	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.
8	THE COURT: Turning to Count 3 which charges you
9	with failing to file a report to the Treasury Department about
10	foreign bank accounts that you controlled or owned.
11	The maximum term of imprisonment that you face for
12	that charge is ten years. There is no minimum term of
13	imprisonment. The maximum term of supervised release is again
14	three years and that would follow any term of imprisonment, if
15	any, that you serve. Again, you can be sent back to prison
16	for up to two years if you violate any term of supervised
17	release.
18	Do you understand that?
19	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.
20	THE COURT: The maximum fine you face for Count 3 is
21	\$500,000 or twice the gross gain or gross loss caused by the
22	offense and you will have to pay restitution again, as set
23	forth in paragraph 3(f) of your agreement.
24	In addition, you have agreed to file amended tax
25	returns with the Guam Department of Revenue and Taxation.

	Proceedings 19
1	Do you understand that?
2	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.
3	THE COURT: Again, you will have to pay a special
4	assessment of \$100 on this count, so the total is now \$300.
5	Lastly, there is a civil monetary penalty of
6	\$308,634 that will be assessed against you pursuant to your
7	plea agreement. Do you understand that?
8	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
9	THE COURT: Finally, I have to advise you that since
10	you have been charged in multiple counts, the sentence on
11	these counts could run consecutively; that means one after the
12	other. Do you understand that?
13	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
14	THE COURT: Both are possible that they could run
15	concurrently, but I have the authority to have them run
16	end-to-end. Do you understand that?
17	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
18	THE COURT: So each maximum could be added together
19	for a total of, in theory, 50 years. Do you understand that?
20	That would be the maximum if they were all added together.
21	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
22	THE COURT: Did I miss anything? I'll turn to the
23	Government.
24	MR. TUCHMANN: No, Your Honor.
25	THE COURT: Mr. Lai, do you understand all of the

20 Proceedings possible consequences of your guilty plea to these three 1 2 charges? 3 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. 4 THE COURT: Let's turn now to the Advisory Sentencing Guidelines. Under the Sentencing Reform Act of 5 6 1984, the United States Sentencing Commission has issued 7 guidelines for judges like myself to follow in determining a 8 sentence in a criminal case. 9 These guidelines are merely advisory and I will 10 consider them along with the particular facts and 11 circumstances of your case as well as certain sentencing 12 factors that are set forth in Title 18 United States code 13 Section 3553(a) in determining your sentence. 14 You should understand, Mr. Lai, that I cannot tell you and no one can predict for you what your sentence in this 15 16 case will be. Do you understand that? 17 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 18 THE COURT: Have you discussed with your attorney 19 how the Advisory Sentencing Guidelines might apply to your 20 case? 21 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I did. 22 THE COURT: What you should understand about the 23 guidelines is that I will not be able to determine what they 24 are until after the Probation Department prepares a 25 pre-sentence report and after you and the Government have had

	Proceedings 21
1	a chance to review that report and make any objections to it
2	that you think are appropriate; including challenging the
3	advisory guideline range as calculated by Probation.
4	Do you understand that.
5	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
6	THE COURT: You should understand that as you sit
7	here today you have no idea what your guideline range will be
8	at the time of sentencing. Do you understand that?
9	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
10	THE COURT: You also should understand that the
11	sentence I impose could be very different than what you hope
12	for or expect. Do you understand that?
13	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
14	THE COURT: If, in fact, the guideline range that's
15	applied at the time of sentencing is different than what you
16	hope for or expect, you cannot take your guilty plea back.
17	Do you understand that?
18	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
19	THE COURT: And if the sentence that I impose is
20	different than what you hoped for or expect, you cannot take
21	your guilty plea back. Do you understand that?
22	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
23	THE COURT: You should also understand that there is
24	no parole in the federal system. If you are sentenced to a
25	term of incarceration, you will not be released on parole.

	Proceedings 22
1	Do you understand that?
2	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
3	THE COURT: Do you have any questions, Mr. Lai,
4	about the rights that you are giving up, the punishments that
5	you face, the plea agreement, the nature of the charges or
6	anything else relating to this matter?
7	THE DEFENDANT: No.
8	THE COURT: Are you prepared to plead guilty?
9	Do you need any time?
10	THE DEFENDANT: No.
11	THE COURT: Are you prepared to plead guilty,
12	Mr. Lai?
13	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I am.
14	THE COURT: Mr. Rapadas, do you know of any reason
15	why your client should not plead guilty?
16	MR. RAPADAS: No, I don't, Your Honor.
17	THE COURT: Let's start with Count 1 of the
18	Information which charges you with participating in a wire
19	fraud conspiracy relating to the 2011 FIFA presidential
20	election scheme.
21	Before we get to that, I have a few more questions
22	to ask you.
23	Are you making your pleas of guilt, Mr. Lai,
24	voluntarily and of your own free will?
25	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

	Proceedings 23
1	THE COURT: Has anyone threatened or forced you to
2	plead guilty.
3	THE DEFENDANT: No.
4	THE COURT: Other than the plea agreement with the
5	Government, has anyone made you any promise that has caused
6	you to plead guilty?
7	THE DEFENDANT: No.
8	THE COURT: Has anyone made you any promise as to
9	what your sentence will be?
10	THE DEFENDANT: No.
11	THE COURT: Has anyone threatened you in order to
12	get you to plead guilty?
13	THE DEFENDANT: No.
14	THE COURT: Now, tell me what your own words what
15	makes you guilty of Count 1.
16	MR. RAPADAS: Your Honor, if it please the Court,
17	Mr. Lai has a written statement he'd like to
18	THE COURT: Is it intended to cover all three?
19	MR. RAPADAS: Yes, it is.
20	THE COURT: All right. Proceed.
21	THE DEFENDANT: Since approximately 2001, I have
22	served as the president of Guam Football Association. At
23	various times since 2001, I have also served on various
24	committees of the Asian Football Confederation including the
25	executive committee, the finance committee, of which I was the

chairman and the marketing committee.

Since 2013 I have also served on the FIFA audit and compliance committee. As an official of GFA, the AFC and FIFA, I owe a fiduciary duty of loyalty to those organization. I also understood that other officials of the AFC and FIFA, and officials of other national soccer federations owe a fiduciary duty of loyalty to the organization.

As related to Count 1, in about January 2011 an AFC officer who has been described in the Information as Co-Conspirator #1 approached me and offered me \$100,000 if I would serve as a consultant to his construction business in connection with purchasing construction material in China.

At that time, I operated restaurants in Guam, and while I had earned an engineering degree decades before, I had never performed any kind of consulting in the construction field for Co-Conspirator #1 or anyone else.

When Co-Conspirator #1 make his offer to me, I had publicly opposed many of Co-Conspirator #1's policies and practice as head of the AFC.

And when he announced his candidacy for the president of FIFA a few months later, I understood that Co-Conspirator #1 was seeking to pay me a bribe in exchange for my support and my vote as president of the GFA.

When Co-Conspirator #1 first offered me this consultant fee, I told him that I would consider it and I

asked him to send me a consultant agreement.

In about March 2011, Co-Conspirator #1 publicly announced his candidacy for the presidency of FIFA and when I saw him again I asked him for the consulting agreement we had discussed before.

By asking him for the consulting agreement, I was communicating to him that I was actively considering voting for him and supporting him in candidacy for the presidency of FIFA and that I was considering taking that 100,000 in so-called consulting fee in exchange for my vote.

Co-Conspirator #1 said the consulting agreement was still being prepared and that he would send it to me.

In April 2011, while I was at home in Guam I used an online login to access information regarding to a personal bank account I held in HSBC Bank in the Philippines and noticed that 50,000 has been wired to that account from an account in Qatar that I understood was controlled by Co-Conspirator #1.

After I noticed this deposit, I called Co-Conspirator #1 and asked him what the money was for. He said not to worry about it because the money was for the consulting and that he would send me a consulting contract soon.

I understood that Co-Conspirator #1 has sent me these funds in an effort to bribe me to support him in the

upcoming FIFA presidential election and that any consulting contract that he would send me would be a sham contract for which I wouldn't actually do any work.

In early May 2011, another 50,000 was wired from an account in Qatar to my is account in HSBC in Philippine and I understood that Co-Conspirator #1 had sent me those funds for the same reason.

During this period I communicated with Co-Conspirator #1 about the agreement using my AOL e-mail address.

In June 2011, it became publicly known that

Co-Conspirator #1 was suspended from FIFA because of bribe he
had offered to official of soccer federations from the

Caribbean to support his candidacy for FIFA president.

However, I kept 100,000 that Co-Conspirator #1 had sent me and I never disclosed to FIFA, AFC, the GFA or any other soccer organization; even though I knew that FIFA was conducting investigation into Co-Conspirator #1 paying bribe for presidential election votes.

I would received a consulting agreement from Co-Conspirator #1 like he said I would. I never performed any consulting work for him or his construction company because of his suspension. Co-Conspirator #1 never actually stood for election for president of FIFA.

THE COURT: Let me pause you for a moment just so we

Proceedings 27 have all of the relevant facts in one place. 1 2 Is it correct that you're waiving any challenge to 3 the venue or the statute of limitations with respect to Count 4 1? MR. RAPADAS: Yes, Your Honor. 5 6 THE COURT: Do you understand, Mr. Lai, that 7 ordinarily, given the nature and the timing of the charges, 8 you might have the right to challenge the case being 9 prosecuted here, what is called venue, or it being prosecuted 10 at all because of the passage of time? 11 Do you understand that you are agreeing to waive any 12 venue or statute of limitations argument or challenge that you 13 might have? 14 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do. THE COURT: With respect to Count 1? 15 16 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. Secondly, I want a proffer from the 17 THE COURT: 18 Government. I gather that the Government has alleged and 19 would be prepared to prove that the money that went from the Qatari account to Mr. Lai's Philippines HSBC account went 20 21 through HSBC's corresponding account in Virginia; is that 22 right? 23 MR. TUCHMANN: Your Honor, actually we would be 24 prepared to prove that the AOL e-mails that were sent -- as 25 part of the scheme, passed through the Eastern District of

	Proceedings 28	
1	Virginia in a server held by AOL.	
2	We would also allege and prove that funds were then	
3	linked to Guam afterwards as well from the Philippines.	
4	THE COURT: Okay. I thought I saw reference to a	
5	corresponding account in Virginia. Is that not in connection	
6	with Count 1. Maybe I am mistaken.	
7	MR. TUCHMANN: I think the corresponding account	
8	there are corresponding accounts in the United States as	
9	alleged, but those aren't specifically alleged to be in the	
10	Eastern District of Virginia. The Eastern District of	
11	Virginia venue relates to the AOL e-mails.	
12	THE COURT: Okay, fair enough.	
13	MR. TUCHMANN: And the venue in Guam relates to the	
14	defendant's presence in Guam over the course of this.	
15	THE COURT: With respect to Count 1, the connection	
16	to the Eastern District of Virginia is the e-mail traffic and	
17	then to the United States in general is the corresponding	
18	account.	
19	MR. TUCHMANN: As well as the defendant being in	
20	Guam, actually in furtherance of the conspiracy.	
21	THE COURT: Mr. Lai, you had said Co-Conspirator #1,	
22	that person was the head of the AFC at the time these acts	
23	happened; correct?	
24	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.	
25	THE COURT: All right. Go ahead, you can move on to	

1 | Count 2.

THE DEFENDANT: For Count 2, as it related to Count 2, in around May 2009 I attended the AFC conference in Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. At that time Co-Conspirator #1 was the president of AFC and a member of FIFA executive committee.

Co-Conspirator #1's seat on the FIFA executive committee was up for a vote in 2009 at AFC Congress, with each AFC member federation receiving one vote.

The person who was the president of the Bahrain Football Federation who is described in the Information as Candidate #1, ran against Co-Conspirator #1 for this position on the FIFA executive committee, but Co-Conspirator #1 won by a vote of 23 to 21.

Candidate #1's candidacy for the FIFA executive committee had been supported by the president of Kuwait Football Association, who is described in the Information as is Co-Conspirator #2 --

THE COURT: Can I stop you for one second. The president of the Kuwait --

THE DEFENDANT: Kuwait. Co-Conspirator #2 was also the president of Olympic Council of Asia; one of the five continental associations recognized by the International Olympic Committee which governs the sport in Asia.

Also in the 2009 AFC Congress, Co-Conspirator #1 proposed the budget for AFC for the year of 2009 to 2012. I

gave a public speech at 2009 AFC Congress opposing the adoption of the proposed budget and Co-Conspirator #1's leadership of the AFC, but the Congress still adopted Co-Conspirator #1's proposed budget even after I gave the speech.

After I gave the speech, a person who worked for Co-Conspirator #2 at the Olympic Council Asia and who also have a position at the Kuwait Football Association and is described in the Information as Co-Conspirator number three, approached me and brought me to meet Co-Conspirator #2.

Co-Conspirator #2 told me that he liked my speech about the budget and agreed with my opposition to Co-Conspirator #1's leadership of the AFC.

Co-Conspirator #2 also asked me if I had been paid by anyone to oppose Co-Conspirator #1 and make my speech and I told him I had not.

Later in 2009 during a meeting with Co-Conspirator #3, we discussed the fact that Co-Conspirator #1 has been improperly withholding from the GFA development money that the AFC should have sent to the GFA. Co-Conspirator #3 told me not to worry about the development money and that he and Co-Conspirator #2 could provide us with financial support instead.

I told him that I needed money to hire a coach for the GFA and he said that he and Co-Conspirator #2 could

SN OCR RPR

provide me with 200,000 for a coach.

But when Co-Conspirator #3 asked me for bank account information regarding where the money shall be wired, he asked me for the account information of my personal bank account, not the Guam Football Association's bank account.

I gave him the account information for an account I held in HSBC in Hong Kong.

In November 2009 he wired 200,000 from his account in Kuwait to my HSBC account in Hong Kong. Within a few weeks after I received that money at the HSBC account in Hong Kong, I wired 200,000 to my account at First Hawaiian Bank in Guam.

After I received that \$200,000 wire transfer from Co-Conspirator #3 in 2009, I periodically received additional received wire transfer from account associated with Co-Conspirator #3 into my HSBC account in Hong Kong and later into account I held in Hang Seng Bank in Hong Kong.

I received this wire transfer after I asked

Co-Conspirator #3 for additional funds for coach and sometimes

he wired me money without my having asked for anything.

Both Co-Conspirator #3 and I understood that when we discussed money for a coach we were both using code to discuss payment for me personally. On one occasion when I saw Co-Conspirator #3, he tried to give me cash but I declined to accept it because as I told him, I could not carry more than \$10,000 in cash back to the United States without reporting it

SN OCR RPR

to the United States government when I enter Guam.

In total, I received at least 770,000 in wire transfers from accounts associated with Co-Conspirator #3 and the OCA between November of 2009 and about the fall of 2014, I understood that the source of this money was ultimately Co-Conspirator #2 and on some occasion Co-Conspirator #3 told me to send him an e-mail saying that I need funds so he could show the e-mail to Co-Conspirator #2. I never used any of those funds to pay for a coach for Guam soccer team or for any other use that benefit the GFA but rather kept them for myself and never told anyone else about them.

After I received the first installment of those funds in November 2009, I worked in concert with Co-Conspirator #2 Co-Conspirator #3 to try to reduce Co-Conspirator #1's influence in the AFC and try to install other people who opposed Co-Conspirator #1 in position of authority in FIFA.

And the AFC through politicking and votes for AFC and FIFA positions. I understand that money I received from Co-Conspirator #2 and Co-Conspirator #3 was intended to reward me for joining them in the effort and induce me to continue work with them and oppose Co-Conspirator #1.

As part of those efforts, I advised that Co-Conspirator #2, Co-Conspirator #3 and his assistant as to what official of AFC Federation I believe could be persuaded

to oppose Co-Conspirator #1 and I arranged for Co-Conspirator #2 and Co-Conspirator #3 to meet with those officials of AFC member association.

After I arranged those meetings, I did not attend them myself because I understood that as a result of those meetings Co-Conspirator #3 would offer, pay or reach an agreement to pay those AFC Federation officials in exchange for their support in AFC votes and other business and I did not want to know about such payment or directly witness them.

THE COURT: All right. This is your allocution for Count 2?

THE DEFENDANT: I still have more.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

THE DEFENDANT: Another part of these efforts was that after Co-Conspirator #1 was suspended from soccer in 2011, I ensured that a thorough audit was performed of the AFC financial records. The audit uncovered the misuse of funds by Co-Conspirator #1 when he was president of AFC and after this report was provided to FIFA, Co-Conspirator #1 was banned for life from football.

After that happened, a high-ranking FIFA officer met with me and thanked me for my work on the audit. That FIFA officer then rewarded me for those efforts by having me appointed to be the FIFA audit and compliance committee.

The efforts I made on behalf of Co-Conspirator #2

34 Proceedings and Co-Conspirator #3 to oppose Co-Conspirator #1 and his 1 2 faction were ultimately successful, as Candidate #1 was 3 ultimately elected president of AFC and FIFA executive 4 committee. And Co-Conspirator #2 was elected to the -- excuse 5 me. 6 And Co-Conspirator #3 was elected to the FIFA 7 executive committee, and Co-Conspirator #1 was banned for life 8 from football. 9 I often communicated via e-mail using my personal 10 AOL e-mail address with Co-Conspirator --May I have a moment? 11 12 THE COURT: Sure. 13 THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, I make a mistake on one 14 of the paragraphs. Can I start it over? 15 THE COURT: Yes. Tell me where you are starting. THE DEFENDANT: The efforts I make on behalf of 16 Co-Conspirator #2, because I confused with the numbers. 17 18 THE COURT: Yes. 19 THE DEFENDANT: So I just wanted to clarify. 20 The efforts I made on behalf of Co-Conspirator #2 21 and Co-Conspirator #3 to oppose Co-Conspirator #1 and his 22 faction were ultimately successful as Candidate #1 was 23 ultimately elected president of AFC and the FIFA Executive 24 Committee, and the Co-Conspirator #2 was elected to the FIFA 25 executive committee and Co-Conspirator #1 was banned life for

1 football, soccer.

I often communicate via e-mail using my personal AOL e-mail address with Co-Conspirator #3 and his assistant about payment I received from him and Co-Conspirator #2 and about our effort to convince other AFC Federation officers to oppose Co-Conspirator #1 and install such officer in position of the power of AFC.

With respect to both of these two scams, I understood that I and the soccer official who I understood were to receive bribe, owed a duty of trust and loyalty to the soccer federations they represent and to the AFC and FIFA, and that they would violate that duty by using their position of authority and trust to enrich themselves by accepting or agreeing to accept bribe or kickback.

I did not disclose the bribe payments to FIFA, to AFC or to anyone at the relevant soccer federations and I understood that no one else would disclose such payment either.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Lai. With respect to Count 2, are you waiving any venue challenge to have the case brought here as opposed to the Eastern District of Virginia or in Guam?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I gather that the Government would rely on the e-mail traffic or the path --

Proceedings 36 1 Yes, Your Honor. MR. TUCHMANN: 2 THE COURT: -- to establish the Eastern District of 3 Virginia venue? 4 MR. TUCHMANN: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. One other thing I wanted to 5 6 clarify for the record, you referenced OCA. Is that the 7 Olympic Council of Asia? 8 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 9 THE COURT: Proceed to Count 3? 10 THE DEFENDANT: It's about my foreign bank account 11 Related to Count 3, since at least June of 2010 I 12 understood that because I am a U.S. citizen, if in any year I 13 owned or controlled any bank account outside the United States 14 or its territory including Guam with a combined value of more than \$10,000, I was required by federal law to report any such 15 16 bank account to my accountant who prepared my taxes so he 17 could report the account to the federal government. 18 Since at least 2009 I have owned or controlled such 19 foreign bank accounts; at various times at HSBC Bank in Hong 20 Kong, HSBC Bank in the Philippines and Hang Seng bank in Hong 21 But because I did not want the federal government to 22 know about those accounts so I could avoid paying taxes on 23 them, so I did not tell any accountant about them. 24 THE COURT: Is there anything else I should ask of Mr. Lai? 25

	Proceedings 37
1	MR. TUCHMANN: Just, I guess, Your Honor, regarding
2	that Count 3, inquire if some of the funds that he received in
3	bribes and kickbacks went into any of those foreign accounts.
4	THE COURT: Mr. Lai, did you understand the
5	question?
6	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
7	THE COURT: Did the money that you got from any of
8	these schemes go into those bank accounts?
9	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, they did.
10	THE COURT: Did, in fact, the money you discussed go
11	into each of those bank accounts, the three that you
12	described?
13	THE DEFENDANT: I believe so.
14	THE COURT: And those bank accounts had values of
15	\$10,000 or more, or actually more than \$10,000 during the
16	period of time you described, from 2009 onward?
17	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
18	THE COURT: Okay. So as far as you knew and
19	believed, you were supposed to have reported starting in 2009
20	the fact and the balances for those accounts, those three
21	accounts, that you mentioned; is that right?
22	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
23	THE COURT: Anything else?
24	MR. TUCHMANN: No, Your Honor.
25	THE COURT: All right. Based on my observations of

Proceedings

the defendant and his demeanor, as well as his answers to my questions and the representations of his counsel, I do find that Mr. Lai is fully competent and capable of entering an informed plea; that he is aware of the nature of the charges and the consequences of his plea and that his plea of guilt as to these three offenses or counts is knowing and voluntary and is supported by an independent basis in fact, as supplied by his allocution with some additional representation or proffers by the Government.

I, therefore, accept your guilty plea, Mr. Lai, as to Counts 1 through 3 of the Information. As I mentioned before, a written pre-sentence report will be prepared to assist me and the parties at the time of sentencing. You will be asked to give information for that report.

Typically that's in the form of an interview by a probation officer. My guess is that that will be conducted by a probation officer in Guam, since that is where you reside.

You have the right to have your counsel,

Mr. Rapadas, participate in that interview, even if it is
remote. He could probably be connected via Skype or some
other videoconferencing service.

Do you want Mr. Rapadas to participate in that interview?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. You and your attorneys, as I

SN

RPR

	Proceedings 39
1	mentioned before, will have an opportunity to review the
2	pre-sentence report and make any objections you think are
3	appropriate, as will the Government.
4	The date for sentencing will be decided by the
5	Probation Department once they prepare that report.
6	Is there anything else that we need to address; The
7	matter of bail?
8	MR. TUCHMANN: Yes. Before we do that, Your Honor,
9	I have a preliminary order of forfeiture to hand up to the
10	court.
11	THE COURT: Okay.
12	MR. TUCHMANN: And it includes a reference to
13	defendant consenting to forfeiture of at least \$870,000, as
14	well as amounts to be determined based upon some further
15	records that we are gathering.
16	THE COURT: Are you aware of this, Mr. Rapadas, the
17	forfeiture?
18	MR. RAPADAS: Yes, Your Honor.
19	THE COURT: And is there any objection to this
20	order?
21	MR. RAPADAS: No, Your Honor.
22	THE COURT: I gather it is consistent with the plea
23	agreement?
24	MR. TUCHMANN: Yes, Your Honor.
25	THE COURT: I'll go ahead and sign that.

40 Proceedings 1 Anything else? 2 MR. TUCHMANN: Yes, Your Honor. With respect to 3 bond, the parties have conferred and reached an agreement. There are just a couple of issues. It's a little complicated 4 perhaps because of the defendant's residence in Guam. 5 6 The basic point is that we have an agreement for a 7 bond of \$1 million to be supported by the signatures of the 8 defendant and his wife, as well as a condominium in the State 9 of Washington that he and his wife jointly own with a value, 10 without any mortgage, the equity of approximately \$1 million 11 or a little less. 12 THE COURT: It looks like 900,000 maybe. 13 MR. TUCHMANN: Approximately \$900,000 in equity. 14 THE COURT: And that's co-owned by the wife and the defendant? 15 16 MR. TUCHMANN: Correct. Yes, Your Honor. The wife 17 will also sign the bond. 18 THE COURT: In Guam? 19 MR. TUCHMANN: She's actually in the State of 20 Washington and what we're going to try and do is have her sign 21 it at federal court walk-in in Seattle tomorrow or today --22 either today or tomorrow hopefully. 23 Hopefully we can get that done today, if not 24 If not, then perhaps in Guam the following week, 25 where the defendant and his wife will be. So what I would

	Proceedings 41
1	suggest is that we give the defendant and his wife a week to
2	have the wife sign the bond whether in Washington or in Guam.
3	THE COURT: Okay.
4	MR. TUCHMANN: And
5	THE COURT: Conditions?
6	MR. TUCHMANN: The conditions, I was wondering about
7	that. We don't have a date for a I'm not familiar and I
8	don't believe Mr. Rapadas is familiar yet or perhaps has
9	recently become familiar with the process of obtaining a
10	Confession of Judgment on property in the State of Washington
11	where the property is.
12	MR. RAPADAS: For the record, I'm in communication
13	with a law firm out there that's very familiar with it and
14	they will be working with me on that.
15	THE COURT: How much time do you want for that? Two
16	weeks?
17	MR. RAPADAS: Let's say two weeks, but we're going
18	to try to get it done tomorrow. We're going to try.
19	MR. TUCHMANN: To be safe, let's say two weeks then
20	which is two weeks from today is
21	THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: May 11th.
22	THE COURT: Let's make a single deadline for the
23	wife to sign the bond as well as the Confession of Judgment to
24	be filed.
25	MR. TUCHMANN: Sure. Okay. There's no date for the

42 Proceedings wife to sign here, but that will be incorporated in whatever 1 2 order Your Honor issues now. 3 THE COURT: Correct. So May 11th. 4 MR. TUCHMANN: So with respect to the defendants, the bond conditions, just that he be placed under supervision 5 6 of Pretrial Services as directed by Pretrial Services and any 7 particular conditions regarding that. 8 With respect to the location we have an attachment 9 we've written out which we would attach to the bond which 10 proposes that the defendant report to Pretrial Services in 11 Guam on or before May 8th. 12 THE COURT: Okay. 13 MR. TUCHMANN: At which time he will surrender all 14 of his passports to that office, including the U.S. passport and also the Hong Kong passport which he will surrender at 15 16 that time. 17 THE COURT: Let me ask a question that I feel like I 18 should know the answer to, but does someone who lives in Guam 19 need passport to travel to the continental U.S.? MR. TUCHMANN: Yes, Your Honor. My understanding as 20 21 it's been represented to me, it's not technically but 22 practically, yes. I'll go into that with the conditions that 23 we propose; that the defendant reside in Guam and be

permission of the court or Pretrial Services, he may travel to

supervised by Pretrial Services there; that without prior

24

25

43 Proceedings Hawaii, California, Oregon, the State of Washington, the 1 2 Eastern and Southern Districts of New York and the District of 3 New Jersey. 4 And that also without prior permission of the court that he may retrieve his U.S. passport from Pretrial Services 5 6 in Guam for the purpose of traveling to those locations. 7 THE COURT: But for no other purpose? MR. TUCHMANN: Correct, if he provides the Pretrial 8 9 Services officer in Guam his travel itinerary showing he's 10 going to those locations. The travel to those locations may 11 also include a transit stop of up to 24 hours in Japan. 12 come to learn that geographically often travel from Guam to 13 the continental United States involves a stop in Japan. 14 Again, it's a transit stop and it must be listed on the travel itinerary to be provided to Pretrial Services. 15 Court permission is required for any other release 16 of the defendant's U.S. passport or for travel other than 17 18 those specified listed in three and four, which is those 19 locations. 20 THE COURT: Otherwise, the Government is not seeking 21 any other restrictions such as curfew or anything else while 22 he's in Guam? 23 MR. TUCHMANN: No, Your Honor. 24 THE COURT: All right. I gather that is your 25 understanding as well?

44 Proceedings 1 MR. RAPADAS: Yes, Your Honor. He's an active 2 businessman and he needs to be running his business. 3 Sometimes it takes him certain past dates and times. 4 I want to be clear though, I want to make sure that that first "without permission" condition was -- I think I was 5 6 hearing that, and it may be only to -- for certain reasons. 7 MR. TUCHMANN: No. 8 MR. RAPADAS: I want to make sure he could travel 9 freely. 10 MR. TUCHMANN: Within those locations without prior 11 permission. 12 THE COURT: I am so glad the two of you understand 13 each other because there isn't a full sentence. 14 MR. TUCHMANN: Your Honor, there's one other issue regarding that, the location, and I have a letter to be filed 15 16 under seal. I'll hand up a copy by hand regarding travel that 17 defendant had already planned to take outside the country 18 before May 8th and so if I can hand up a copy to the court. 19 THE COURT: That the Government does not oppose. 20 MR. TUCHMANN: The Government does not oppose, but 21 we would just get court permission pursuant to that letter. 22 THE COURT: All right. Because he is not reporting 23 to Pretrial Services until May 8th? 24 MR. TUCHMANN: Correct. 25 THE COURT: Understood. I am going to adopt the

bail package proposed by the Government with the deadline May 11th for the filing of the Confession of Judgment as w as the signature of the wife on the bond in Guam or in the State of Washington. I have also signed the preliminary forfeiture or or the order of forfeiture, and I am going to allow the request of Mr. Lai to travel before May 8th, and that will docketed today as well under seal. I'm going to return to the Government, or have already returned to the Government, the plea agreement.	45
as the signature of the wife on the bond in Guam or in the State of Washington. I have also signed the preliminary forfeiture or or the order of forfeiture, and I am going to allow the request of Mr. Lai to travel before May 8th, and that will docketed today as well under seal. I'm going to return to the Government, or have	of
State of Washington. I have also signed the preliminary forfeiture or or the order of forfeiture, and I am going to allow the request of Mr. Lai to travel before May 8th, and that will docketed today as well under seal. I'm going to return to the Government, or have	ell
I have also signed the preliminary forfeiture or or the order of forfeiture, and I am going to allow the request of Mr. Lai to travel before May 8th, and that will docketed today as well under seal. I'm going to return to the Government, or have	
or the order of forfeiture, and I am going to allow the request of Mr. Lai to travel before May 8th, and that will docketed today as well under seal. I'm going to return to the Government, or have	
7 request of Mr. Lai to travel before May 8th, and that will 8 docketed today as well under seal. 9 I'm going to return to the Government, or have	der
docketed today as well under seal. I'm going to return to the Government, or have	
9 I'm going to return to the Government, or have	be
already returned to the Government, the plea agreement.	
MR. TUCHMANN: And for the bond, Your Honor, the	
defendant just needs to sign. I think it should be set	
13 though.	
14 THE COURT: I will take a look and then I will s	ign
15 that.	
I think that covers everything, right? Does that	t
17 cover everything?	
MR. TUCHMANN: Yes, Your Honor.	
19 THE COURT: The Pretrial Services officer is	
20 patiently waiting to say something here.	
You are going to get a copy of this?	
MR. ABBOTT: Yes, Your Honor.	
THE COURT: I'm going to endorse the bail releas	е
24 form.	
MR. TUCHMANN: Regarding Mr. Lai's wife's signat	ure,

```
46
    I think Mr. Rapadas should coordinate with the clerk's office
1
 2
    here to coordinate with the clerk's office in the court in
 3
    Washington to arrange for that or in Guam.
 4
               THE COURT:
                           That's fine. Pursuant to this she is
5
    allowed to sign in either Guam or in the State of Washington.
               MR. TUCHMANN:
                              Yes.
 6
               THE COURT: I am sure you will work all of that out.
7
              Thank you, everyone. Are we good?
8
9
              MR. TUCHMANN: Yes, Your Honor.
10
              MR. RAPADAS: Yes.
11
               THE COURT: Good luck, Mr. Lai.
12
              THE DEFENDANT: Thank you.
13
              THE COURT: Yes, go ahead, Mr. Tuchmann.
14
               MR. TUCHMANN:
                              The Government moves for the court at
    this time to replace John Doe in the caption of this case with
15
16
    the defendant's name.
               THE COURT: Any objection?
17
18
              MR. RAPADAS: No, Your Honor.
               THE COURT: That will be done.
19
20
               (Matter concludes.)
21
                                0000000
22
     I (we) certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript
    from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
23
              <u>/s/ Sophie Nolan</u>
                                              April 28, 2017
24
                SOPHIE NOLAN
                                                    Date
25
```